

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,997	07/13/2006	John C. Bache	D25-077	2182
28156 COLEMAN S	7590 01/07/2008		EXAMINER	
COLEMAN SUDOL SAPONE, P.C. 714 COLORADO AVENUE BRIDGE PORT, CT 06605-1601	DO AVENUE		LITHGOW, THOMAS M	
	RT, CT 06605-1601		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/07/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commons	10/574,997	BACHE, JOHN C.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thomas M. Lithgow	1797			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realiure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulated and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	I.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on      This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This      Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) none is/are withdrawn 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 5 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 April 2006</u> is/are: a)		ov the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ul>		-(d) or (f).			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the second seco	r (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified conies not receive	du Lithar			
Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	HOMAS M. LITHGOVE HOMAS M. LITHGOVE PRIMARY EXAMINER PRIMARY EXAMINER (PTO-413) Ite			
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>07 April 2007</u>.</li> </ol>	6) Other:	acont i ppinoauon			

Art Unit: 1797

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section
 made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6-7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wilson (US 4759854). Wilson '854 discloses a vortex grit trap having a rotary disk as a "divider" which defines an annulus through which grit passes to the collection zone. Vertical tube will periodically discharge gas in the collection zone which scours the grit to wash out any trapped organics [col. 4, line 1+]. As the bubbles will inherently rise up and flow through the annulus gap opposite to the flow of grit, the claims are anticipated by Wilson '854. The process is considered "continuous" during the entire scouring cycle.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 6 and 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Weis (US 3941698). Weis '698 discloses a

Art Unit: 1797

vortex grit trap having a propeller 38 as a "divider". There is defined an annulus 72 by a tank liner plate 68 which leads to a collection zone. Air distribution pipes are located in the collection zone for causing a flow of bubbles which will rise counter to the flow of grit into the collection zone through the annulus.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilson '854 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Schulze (US 3129173). It is known that a rotary flow of solids can contribute to direct erosion of the vessel in which the rotary motion of the solids occurs as taught by Schulze '173. Schulze '173 employs a liner to prevent such erosion upon the vessel and to do so in Wilson '854 would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Art Unit: 1797

### Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas M. Lithgow whose telephone number is 571-272-1162. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. -Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

**Art Unit: 1797** 

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Thomas M. Lithgow Primary Examiner Art Unit 1797

**TML**